Relative uniform convergence in vector lattices

Eduard Emelyanov

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, Russia (16, March 2023)

Introduction

Recall that a net x_{α} in a vector lattice E relatively uniformly converges, or r-converges to $x \in E$ if there exists $u \in E_+$ (a regulator of the convergence) such that $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} x(u)$, i.e., for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists α_k with

$$|x_{lpha}-x|\leqslant rac{1}{k}u$$
 for all $lpha\geqslant lpha_k$

(cf. Definition III.11.1 in: B.Z. Vulikh, Introduction to the Theory of Partially Ordered Spaces, (1968)). In this case we write $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{r} x$.

r-Convergence is **sequential** in the sense that, for any net $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$,

$$(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} x$$

implies that there exists a (not nesecarily increasing) sequence α_{β_n} of elements of A satisfying $x_{\alpha_{\beta_n}} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} x$.

r-Convergence is an abstraction of the classical uniform convergence of functions.

A net x_{α} in E is called r-**Cauchy with a regulator** $u \in E_+$ if $x_{\alpha'} - x_{\alpha''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} \mathsf{O}(u)$, i.e., for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists α_k with

$$|x_{\alpha'} - x_{\alpha''}| \leqslant \frac{1}{k}u$$
 for all $\alpha', \alpha'' \geqslant \alpha_k$.

A net x_{α} in E is called r-**Cauchy** if x_{α} is r-Cauchy with some regulator $u \in E_+$.

Clearly,

$$x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} x(u) \Rightarrow x_{\alpha'} - x_{\alpha''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} \mathsf{O}(u),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n}x \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} \mathsf{O}(x) \qquad (\forall x \in E_+).$$

A vector lattice E is called **Archimedean** if, for each $x \in E_+$,

$$\frac{1}{n}x \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} y(x) \Rightarrow y = 0.$$

E is Archimedean iff every r-convergent net in E has a unique limit.

Remark 1. Let x_{α} be an r-Cauchy net in a sublattice E of an Archimedean VL F with a regulator $u \in E_+$. If $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} y(w)$ with $y \in E$ and $w \in F_+$ then $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} y(u)$.

Indeed, let $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} y(w)$ with $y \in E$ and $w \in F_+$. For each $l \in \mathbb{N}$ we take an $\alpha(l)$ with $|x_{\alpha} - y| \leq \frac{1}{l}w$ for $\alpha \geq \alpha(l)$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $x_{\alpha'} - x_{\alpha''} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} 0(u)$, there exists α_k with $|x_{\alpha'} - x_{\alpha''}| \leq \frac{1}{k}u$ for $\alpha', \alpha'' \geq \alpha_k$. Fix any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and pick an $\alpha(k, l) \geq \alpha_k, \alpha(l)$. Then

$$|x_{\alpha} - y| \leq |x_{\alpha} - x_{\alpha(k,l)}| + |x_{\alpha(k,l)} - y| \leq \frac{1}{k}u + \frac{1}{l}w$$

for each $\alpha \ge \alpha_k$. Since $l \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary and F is Archimedean then $|x_{\alpha} - y| \le \frac{1}{k}u$ for all $\alpha \ge \alpha_k$, and hence $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} y(u)$.

This is no longer true in every non-Archimedean VL *F*. Indeed, WLOG assume $F = \mathbb{R}^2_{lex}$. Then, for $E := \{ < 0, t > : t \in \mathbb{R} \}$: $< 0, 1/n > \xrightarrow{r} < 0, 0 > (< 0, 1 >)$ and $< 0, 1/n > \xrightarrow{r} < 0, 1 > (< 1, 0 >),$ yet $< 0, 1/n > \xrightarrow{r} < 0, 1 > (< 0, 1 >).$ **Remark 2.** For a sublattice E of an Archimedean VL F,

if
$$E \ni x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} y(u)$$
 and $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} z(w)$ with $y, z \in F, u, w \in F_{+}$ then $y = z$.

Indeed, under the assumption of Remark 2, $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} y(u+w)$ and $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} z(u+w)$. Since F is Archimedean, it follows y = z.

As above, it is no longer true in every non-Archimedean F. Indeed, WLOG assume $F = \mathbb{R}^2_{lex}$. Then, for $E := \{ < 0, t > : t \in \mathbb{R} \}$:

$$<0,1/n>rac{\mathsf{r}}{
ightarrow}<0,0>(<0,1>)$$
 and

 $< 0, 1/n > \stackrel{\mathsf{r}}{\rightarrow} < 0, 1 > (< 1, 0 >).$

Archimedization of a vector lattice

The Archimedeanization of an ordered vector space with a (strong) order unit was constructed in by Paulsen and Tomforde [PT2009] (V.I. Paulsen, M. Tomforde: Vector spaces with an order unit. Indiana Univ. Math. J. (2009)).

The extension of the Archimedization to arbitrary ordered vector space was obtained in [E2017] (E.Y. Emelyanov: Archimedean Cones in Vector Spaces. Journal of Convex Analysis (2017)).

Here, we discuss the Archimedeanization of a vector lattice.

Given a vector lattice E, denote by

$$I_E := \{x \in E | (\exists y \in E) (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) | x | \leq \frac{1}{n} y \}$$

the set of all **infinitesimals** of *E*. Then I_E is an order ideal in *E*. A VL *E* is Archimedean iff $I_E = \{0\}$.

If E has a strong order unit $u \in E$ then $u \notin I_E$. However, in the absence of strong order units it may happened $I_E = E$ (e.g., for any ultraproduct of copies of \mathbb{R}).

Denote

$$D_E := \{ x \in E | (\exists y \in E_+) (\forall \varepsilon > 0) \ x + \varepsilon y \ge 0 \}.$$

Then $E_+ \subseteq D_E$ and

$$I_E = D_E \cap (-D_E).$$

The set D_E is a wedge, i.e.:

 $D_E + D_E \subseteq D_E$ and $rW \subseteq W$ for all $r \ge 0$.

Consider the sets

$$E_{+} + I_{E} = [E_{+}]_{I_{E}}$$

and

$$D_E + I_E = [D_E]_{I_E}$$

in the quotient VL $E/I_{\ensuremath{E}}.$ Both sets are cones since

$$(D_E + I_E) \cap (-D_E + I_E) = D_E \cap (-D_E) = I_E$$

and

$$(E_{+} + I_{E}) \cap (-E_{+} + I_{E}) = I_{E}.$$

If $A \subseteq E$ be an order ideal then, by the Veksler theorem (A.I. Veksler: Archimedean principle in homomorphic images of I-groups and of vector lattices. Izv. Vyshs. Ucebn. Zaved. Matematika, (1966)),

E/A is Archimedean \Leftrightarrow A is r-closed.

In general, I_E need not to be r-closed in E.

To see this, consider the following example that is due to T. Nakayama (see, [LZ1971] W.A.J. Luxemburg, A.C. Zaanen, Riesz Spaces, I, (1971). Example 1. Consider the vector lattice

$$E = \{a = (a_k^1, a_k^2)_k | (a_k^1, a_k^2) \in (\mathbb{R}^2, \leq_{lex}), a_k^1 \neq 0 \text{ for finitely many } k\}$$

with respect to the pointwise ordering and operations. Then I_E
is not r-closed in E and hence the VL E/I_E still has nonzero
infinitesimals by the Veksler theorem.

Definition 1. Let E be a VL and $\mathcal{R}_{Arch}(E)$ be the category whose objects are pairs $\langle F, \phi \rangle$, where F is an Archimedean VL and $\phi : E \to F$ a lattice homomorphism, and morphisms $\langle F_1, \phi_1 \rangle \to \langle F_2, \phi_2 \rangle$ are lattice homomorphisms $q_{12} : F_1 \to F_2$ such that $q_{12} \circ \phi_1 = \phi_2$.

If $\mathcal{R}_{Arch}(E)$ possesses an initial object $\langle F_0, \phi_0 \rangle$, then F_0 is called an **Archimedization** of E.

Denote by $Arch_{VL}(E)$ the Archimedization of a VL E, if exists.

Theorem 1. Any VL has an Archimedeanization.

The idea of a proof: Let E be a VL. Denote $I_0 := \{0\}$, $I_1 := I_E = \{x \in E | [x]_{I_0} \text{ is an infinitesimal in } E/I_0 = E\},$ $I_{n+1} := \{x \in E | [x]_{I_n} \text{ is an infinitesimal in } E/I_n\},$ and, more generally, for an arbitrary ordinal $\alpha > 0$:

 $I_{\alpha} = I_{\alpha}(E) = \{ x \in E | [x]_{\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} I_{\beta}} \text{ is an infinitesimal in } E/_{\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} I_{\beta}} \}.$

All I_{α} are order ideals in E and $I_{\alpha_1} \subseteq I_{\alpha_2}$ for $\alpha_1 \leqslant \alpha_2$.

Take the first ordinal, say λ_E , such that $I_{\lambda_E+1} = I_{\lambda_E}$. Then the VL E/I_{λ_E} has no nonzero infinitesimals and hence is Archimedean.

The quotient map $p_E : E \to E/I_{\lambda_E}$ is a lattice homomorphism. For any other pair $\langle F, \phi \rangle$, where F is an Archimedean VL and $\phi : E \to F$ is a lattice homomorphism, we have $\phi(I_\alpha) \subseteq I_F$ for each ordinal α . Since F is Archimedean, $I_F = \{0\}$ and hence $I_{\lambda_E} \subseteq \ker(\phi)$. So, the map $\tilde{\phi} : E/I_{\lambda_E} \to F$ is well defined by $\tilde{\phi}([x]_{I_{\lambda_E}}) = \phi(x)$ and satisfies $\tilde{\phi} \circ p_E = \phi$. Moreover, $\tilde{\phi}$ is a lattice homomorphism.

In order to show that $\tilde{\phi}$ is unique, take any $\psi : E/I_{\lambda_E} \to F$, that satisfies $\psi \circ p_E = \phi$. Then

$$\psi([y]_{I_{\lambda_E}}) = \psi(p_E(y)) = \phi(y) = \tilde{\phi}(p_E(y)) = \tilde{\phi}([y]_{I_{\lambda_E}}) \quad (\forall y \in E),$$

and hence $\psi = \tilde{\phi}$. Thus, $(E/I_{\lambda_E}, \tilde{\phi})$ is an initial object of $\mathcal{R}_{Arch}(E)$, and hence the VL E/I_{λ_V} is an Archimedization of the VL E.

Let *E* be a VL. Denote by $\alpha_{VL}(E)$ the first ordinal α such that $I_{\alpha+1}(E) = I_{\alpha}(E)$.

Conjecture 1. For each VL E, $\alpha_{VL}(E) < \omega_1$, where ω_1 is the first uncountable ordinal. Moreover, for each countable ordinal α there exists a VL E such that $\alpha_{VL}(E) = \alpha$.

Conditions under which the relative uniform convergences in a vector lattice is topological

Recall that $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in a VL E if there exists a net y_{β} in E with $y_{\beta} \downarrow 0$ such that, for every β there is an α_{β} satisfying $|x_{\alpha}| \leq y_{\beta}$ for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_{\beta}$.

It was proved in Theorem 1 of [DEM2017] (Y.A. Dabboorasad, E.Y. Emelyanov, M.A.A. Marabeh: Order convergence in infinite-dimensional vector lattices is not topological, arXiv:1705.09883v1 (2017)) that, for a topological VL (E, τ) the following statements are equivalent.

(1) For every net
$$x_{\alpha}$$
 of $E: x_{\alpha} \to 0$ in τ iff $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{o} 0$.

(2) dim $(E) < \infty$.

In particular, in an Archimedean VL E the order convergence is topological iff dim $(E) < \infty$.

It is well known that in $c_{00}(\Omega)$: $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} 0$ iff $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{o}} 0$. This can be extended as follows.

The next fact is Proposition 4 of [DEM2018] (Y.A. Dabboorasad, E.Y. Emelyanov, M.A.A. Marabeh: $u\tau$ -Convergence in locally solid vector lattices. Positivity (2018)):

Proposition 1. The following conditions are equivalent:

(1)
$$f_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} 0$$
 iff $f_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{o}} 0$ for any net f_{α} in the VL \mathbb{R}^{Ω} ;

(2) Ω is countable.

Since order ideals are regular, it follows from Proposition 1 that, for any order ideal E of an atomic universally complete VL, the following conditions are equivalent.

(1)
$$f_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} 0$$
 iff $f_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{o}} 0$ for each net f_{α} in E .

(2) E has at most countably many pairwise disjoint atoms.

Since in purely nonatomic universally complete VL the o-convergence is properly weaker than the r-convergence, it follows:

Proposition 2. Let E be an order ideal of a universally complete VL. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(1)
$$f_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} 0$$
 iff $f_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{o}} 0$ for any net f_{α} in E.

(2) E is discrete with at most countably many pairwise disjoint atoms.

The following is an r-version of Theorem 1 in [DEM2017].

Theorem 2. (Theorem 5 in [DEM2018]) Let *E* be an Archimedean VL. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) There exists a linear topology τ on E such that, for any net x_{α} in $E: x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} 0$ iff $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$.

(2) There exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on X such that, for any net x_{α} in E: $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} 0$ iff $\|x_{\alpha}\| \to 0$.

(3) E has a strong order unit.

In other words, in an Archimedean VL E the r-convergence is topological iff E has a strong order unit. Clearly, in any non-Archimedean VL the r-convergence is not topological.

Free relative uniform complete vector lattice over a nonempty set

The existence of a free vector lattice FVL(A) over a nonempty set A is the long established fact going back to Birkhoff [Birk1942] (G. Birkhoff: Lattice, ordered groups. Ann. Math. 2(43), 298–331 (1942)), where more general result was established for algebraic systems. A concrete representation of FVL(A) as a vector lattice of real-valued functions was given by Weinberg [Wein1963] (E.C. Weinberg, Free lattice-ordered abelian groups. Math. Annalen 151, 187–199 (1963)) and Baker [Baker1968] (K.A. Baker.: Free vector lattices. Canad. J. Math. 20, 58–66 (1968))

Following the approach of de Pagter and Wickstead [PW2015] (B. de Pagter, A.W. Wickstead: Free and projective Banach lattices. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 145(1), 105–143 (2015)), a **free vector lattice over a non-empty set** A is a pair (F, i), where F is a vector lattice and $i : A \to F$ is a map such that, for any vector lattice E and for any map $q : A \to E$, there exists a unique lattice homomorphism $T : F \to E$ satisfying $q = T \circ i$. If (F, i) is a free vector lattice over A, then F is generated by i(A) as a vector lattice.

Here, we discuss a **free uniformly complete vector lattice over a non-empty set** *A* and give some of its representations [EG2022] (E. Emelyanov, S. Gorokhova: Free uniformly complete vector lattices. arxiv.org/abs/2109.03895). A r-complete vector lattice will be abbreviated as a UCVL.

A UCVL F is called an r-completion of a VL E if there is a lattice embedding $i: E \to F$ such that, for each UCVL G and each lattice homomorphism $T: E \to G$, there exists a unique lattice homomorphism $S: F \to G$ satisfying $T = S \circ i$. If an r-completion F of a vector lattice E exists, it must be unique up to a lattice isomorphism.

As every r-complete VL E coincides with its r-completion, a VL that has an r-completion need not to be Archimedean (e.g., \mathbb{R}_{lex}^2 is r-complete).

It is long known that if E is Archimedean, then the intersection of all uniformly complete sublattices containing E of the Dedekind completion E^{δ} of E is the r-completion of E (see, for example [Veksler1969] A.I. Veksler: A new construction of Dedekind completion of vector lattices and of l-groups with division. Siberian Math. J. (1969)).

We recall some details of the construction of the r-completion in a slightly more general case.

As the r-convergence is sequential, we can restrict ourselves to r-convergent (and r-Cauchy) sequences. In particular, a VL U is UCVL iff every r-Cauchy sequence in U is r-convergent.

Furthermore, Remark 1 tells us that in the definition of a r-complete sublattice E of an Archimedean VL F we may always take from E the regulators of r-convergence.

Suppose E is a sublattice of some Archimedean UCVL U. Then the intersection F of all r-complete sublattices of U containing Eis a UCVL.

Indeed, let x_n be r-Cauchy in F with a regulator $u \in F_+$. Take any r-complete sublattice V of U containing E. Then $x_n \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} x(v)$ for some $v \in V_+$ and hence $x_n \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} x(u)$ by Remark 1. Define a transfinite sequence $(F_{\beta})_{\beta \in \text{Ord}}$ of sublattices of U by: $F_1 := E;$ $F_{\beta+1} := \{x \in U : x_n \xrightarrow{\mathsf{r}} x(x_1), \text{ for a sequence } x_n \text{ in } F_{\beta}\};$ $F_{\beta} := \bigcup_{\substack{\gamma \in \text{Ord}; \gamma < \beta}} F_{\gamma} \text{ for a limit ordinal } \beta.$

Then $F_{\beta_1} \subseteq F_{\beta_2}$ if $\beta_1 \leqslant \beta_2$.

Lemma 1. Let *E* be a sublattice of an Archimedean UCVL *U*. Then the intersection *F* of all *r*-complete sublattices of *U* containing *E* satisfies $F = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \text{Ord}} F_{\gamma}$. Since r-convergence is sequential,

$$F = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \operatorname{Ord}; \gamma < \omega_1} F_{\gamma},$$

where ω_1 is the first uncountable ordinal.

Lemma 1 leads to the following proposition that was already stated in indirect form in [Veksler1969].

Proposition 3. Let *E* be a sublattice of an Archimedean UCVL *U*. Then $\bigcup_{\gamma < \omega_1} F_{\gamma}$ is the r-completion of *E*. **Definition 2.** If A is a non-empty set, then a **free UCVL over** A is a pair (F,i), where F is a UCVL and $i : A \to F$ is a map with the property that, for any UCVL E and for any map $q : A \to E$, there exists a unique lattice homomorphism $T : F \to E$ such that $q = T \circ i$.

We denote a free UCVL over A by FUCVL(A). It is an initial object in the category, whose objects are pairs (E,q) with a UCVL E and $q: A \to E$, and whose morphisms $T: (E_1,q_1) \to (E_2,q_2)$ are lattice homomorphisms from E_1 to E_2 satisfying $q_2 = T \circ q_1$. Thus FUCVL(A) is defined similarly to FVL(A) in a proper subcategory.

Routine arguments show that if FUCVL(A) exists it is unique up to a lattice isomorphism and the map $i : A \rightarrow FUCVL(A)$ above is injective.

By Theorem 2.4 of [Baker1968], FVL(A) is a vector sublattice of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$ generated by the evaluation functionals δ_a on \mathbb{R}^A , $\delta_a(\xi) = \xi(a)$.

Theorem 3. (Theorem 1 in [EG2022]) Let A be a non-empty set, and assume FVL(A) to be a vector sublattice of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^{A}}$. The intersection F of all r-complete sublattices of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^{A}}$ containing FVL(A) together with the embedding $a \xrightarrow{i} \delta_{a}$ is a FUCVL(A). Following the tradition, for $B \subseteq A$, we identify $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^B}$ with a sublattice of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$ by assigning $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^B}$ to $\hat{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$ such that $\hat{\xi}(f) = \xi(f|_B)$.

By Proposition 3.5(2) of [PW2015], there exists a unique order projection P_B of FVL(A) onto FVL(B) satisfying

$$P_B(\delta_a) = \begin{cases} \delta_a & \text{if } a \in B \\ 0 & \text{if } a \in A \setminus B \end{cases}.$$

In particular, $FVL(A) = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(A)} FVL(B)$, where $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(A)$ is the

set of all finite subsets of A (Proposition 3.7 in [PW2015]).

Denote by $H(\mathbb{R}^A)$ (by $H(\Delta_A)$) the space of all positively homogeneous real-valued functions on \mathbb{R}^A (on $\Delta_A := [-1, 1]^A$) which are continuous in the product topology of \mathbb{R}^A (of Δ_A).

Then $H(\Delta_A)$ is a closed in $\|.\|_{\infty}$ -norm vector sublattice of $C(\Delta_A)$, and hence $H(\Delta_A)$ is itself a Banach lattice. The following notion was introduced by de Pagter and Wickstead.

Definition 3. (Definition 4.1 in [PW2015]) If A is a non-empty set, then a free Banach lattice over A (shortly, FBL(A)) is a pair (F,i), where F is a Banach lattice and $i : A \to F$ is a bounded map with the property that for any Banach lattice E and any bounded map $\kappa : A \to E$ there exists a unique vector lattice homomorphism $T : F \to E$ such that $\kappa = T \circ i$ and $||T|| = \sup{\kappa(a) : a \in A}$.

The existence of FBL(A) over a non-empty set A was established in Theorem 4.7 of [PW2015]. It is well known that FVL(A) may be identified with a sublattice of $H(\mathbb{R}^A)$ and hence with a sublattice of $H(\Delta_A)$ in view of Lemma 5.1 of [PW2015].

By Corollary 5.7 of [PW2015], FBL(A) is embedded into $H(\Delta_A)$ as an order ideal J(FBL(A)).

Furthermore, $J(FBL(A)) = H(\Delta_A)$ iff A is finite and, in this case, FBL(A) is isomorphic to $H(\Delta_A)$ under the supremum norm by Theorem 8.2 of [PW2015].

Theorem 4. (Theorem 2 in [EG2022]) Let B be a non-empty finite set. Then FUCVL(B) is lattice isomorphic to FBL(B), to $H(\Delta_B)$, and to $H(\mathbb{R}^B)$.

Since any Banach lattice is UCL, it follows from Proposition 4 that FBL(A) contains an r-completion of FVL(A).

FUCVL(A) is a proper sublattice of FBL(A) unless A is finite. **Corollary 1.** Let A be a non-empty set. Then

$$\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(A)} FBL(B) \subseteq FUCVL(A) \subseteq FBL(A).$$

Furthermore, both inclusions are proper unless A is finite.

Proposition 4. (Proposition 2 in [EG2022]) Let A be a non-empty set, and let $x \in FBL(A)$. Then there exists a sequence x_n in FVL(A) which r-converges to x with a regulator $u \in FBL(A)$.

Proposition 5. (Proposition 3 in [EG2022]) If a sequence g_n of $H(\mathbb{R}^A)$ r-converges with a regulator $u \in H(\mathbb{R}^A)$ to some $g \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$ then $g \in H(\mathbb{R}^A)$.

[1] K.A. Baker.: Free vector lattices. Canad. J. Math. 20, 58–66 (1968)

[2] G. Birkhoff: Lattice, ordered groups. Ann. Math. 2(43), 298–331 (1942)

[3] Y.A. Dabboorasad, E.Y. Emelyanov, M.A.A. Marabeh: Order convergence in infinite-dimensional vector lattices is not topological, arXiv:1705.09883v1 (2017).

[4] Y.A. Dabboorasad, E.Y. Emelyanov, M.A.A. Marabeh: $u\tau$ -Convergence in locally solid vector lattices. Positivity 22, 1065–1080 (2018)

[5] Y.A. Dabboorasad, E.Y. Emelyanov, M.A.A. Marabeh: Order convergence is not topological in infinite-dimensional vector lattices, Uzb. Mat. Zh. no.1, 159–166 (2020)

[6] E. Emelyanov, S. Gorokhova: Free uniformly complete vector lattices. arxiv.org/abs/2109.03895

[7] B. de Pagter, A.W. Wickstead: Free and projective Banach lattices. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 145(1), 105–143 (2015)

[8] A.I. Veksler: Archimedean principle in homomorphic images of I-groups and of vector lattices. Izv. Vyshs. Ucebn. Zaved. Matematika, (1966),

[9] A.I. Veksler: A new construction of Dedekind completion of vector lattices and of I-groups with division. Siberian Math. J. 10 (1969) 891–896.

[10] B.Z. Vulikh: Introduction to the Theory of Partially Ordered Spaces. (1968)

[11] E.C. Weinberg, Free lattice-ordered abelian groups. Math. Annalen 151, 187–199 (1963)

THANK YOU FOR THE ATTENTION!