

Semicontinuity properties of Banach lattices

Eugene Bilokopytov

ICMAT

September 14, 2026

Order continuity and semicontinuity

Order continuity and semicontinuity

Throughout the talk F is a normed lattice. We call it:

- *order continuous (a.k.a. Lebesgue)* if whenever $P \subset F$ is such that $P \downarrow 0$, it then follows that $P \rightarrow 0$ in norm, that is $\bigwedge_{p \in P} \|p\| = 0$;

Order continuity and semicontinuity

Throughout the talk F is a normed lattice. We call it:

- *order continuous (a.k.a. Lebesgue)* if whenever $P \subset F$ is such that $P \downarrow 0$, it then follows that $P \rightarrow 0$ in norm, that is $\bigwedge_{p \in P} \|p\| = 0$;
- *(order) semicontinuous (a.k.a. Fatou)* if whenever $P \subset B_{F_+}$ and $f \in F$ are such that $P \uparrow f$ it then follows that $\|f\| \leq 1$, i.e. $f \in B_F$.

Order continuity and semicontinuity

Throughout the talk F is a normed lattice. We call it:

- *order continuous (a.k.a. Lebesgue)* if whenever $P \subset F$ is such that $P \downarrow 0$, it then follows that $P \rightarrow 0$ in norm, that is $\bigwedge_{p \in P} \|p\| = 0$;
- *(order) semicontinuous (a.k.a. Fatou)* if whenever $P \subset B_{F_+}$ and $f \in F$ are such that $P \uparrow f$ it then follows that $\|f\| \leq 1$, i.e. $f \in B_F$.

$\mathcal{C}_0$, $L_p(\mu)$ – order continuous, $p < \infty$; $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$, $L_\infty(\mu)$ – semicontinuous.

Order continuity and semicontinuity

Throughout the talk F is a normed lattice. We call it:

- *order continuous (a.k.a. Lebesgue)* if whenever $P \subset F$ is such that $P \downarrow 0$, it then follows that $P \rightarrow 0$ in norm, that is $\bigwedge_{p \in P} \|p\| = 0$;
- *(order) semicontinuous (a.k.a. Fatou)* if whenever $P \subset B_{F_+}$ and $f \in F$ are such that $P \uparrow f$ it then follows that $\|f\| \leq 1$, i.e. $f \in B_F$.

$\mathcal{C}_0$, $L_p(\mu)$ – order continuous, $p < \infty$; $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$, $L_\infty(\mu)$ – semicontinuous.

A net $(f_\alpha) \subset F$ *order converges* to $f \in F$ (denoted by $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} f$) if there is $P \subset F$ with $\bigwedge P = 0$ such that

Order continuity and semicontinuity

Throughout the talk F is a normed lattice. We call it:

- *order continuous (a.k.a. Lebesgue)* if whenever $P \subset F$ is such that $P \downarrow 0$, it then follows that $P \rightarrow 0$ in norm, that is $\bigwedge_{p \in P} \|p\| = 0$;
- *(order) semicontinuous (a.k.a. Fatou)* if whenever $P \subset B_{F_+}$ and $f \in F$ are such that $P \uparrow f$ it then follows that $\|f\| \leq 1$, i.e. $f \in B_F$.

$\mathcal{C}_0$, $L_p(\mu)$ – order continuous, $p < \infty$; $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$, $L_\infty(\mu)$ – semicontinuous.

A net $(f_\alpha) \subset F$ *order converges* to $f \in F$ (denoted by $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} f$) if there is $P \subset F$ with $\bigwedge P = 0$ such that for every $p \in P$ there is α_p such that $|f_\alpha - f| \leq p$, for $\alpha \geq \alpha_p$.

Order continuity and semicontinuity

Throughout the talk F is a normed lattice. We call it:

- *order continuous (a.k.a. Lebesgue)* if whenever $P \subset F$ is such that $P \downarrow 0$, it then follows that $P \rightarrow 0$ in norm, that is $\bigwedge_{p \in P} \|p\| = 0$;
- *(order) semicontinuous (a.k.a. Fatou)* if whenever $P \subset B_{F_+}$ and $f \in F$ are such that $P \uparrow f$ it then follows that $\|f\| \leq 1$, i.e. $f \in B_F$.

$\mathcal{C}_0$, $L_p(\mu)$ – order continuous, $p < \infty$; $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$, $L_\infty(\mu)$ – semicontinuous.

A net $(f_\alpha) \subset F$ *order converges* to $f \in F$ (denoted by $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} f$) if there is $P \subset F$ with $\bigwedge P = 0$ such that for every $p \in P$ there is α_p such that $|f_\alpha - f| \leq p$, for $\alpha \geq \alpha_p$.

The term “semicontinuous” is justified by the fact that it is equivalent to B_F being order closed and to the condition:

$$f_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} f \Rightarrow \|f\| \leq \liminf \|f_\alpha\|.$$

Order continuity and semicontinuity

Throughout the talk F is a normed lattice. We call it:

- *order continuous (a.k.a. Lebesgue)* if whenever $P \subset F$ is such that $P \downarrow 0$, it then follows that $P \rightarrow 0$ in norm, that is $\bigwedge_{p \in P} \|p\| = 0$;
- *(order) semicontinuous (a.k.a. Fatou)* if whenever $P \subset B_{F_+}$ and $f \in F$ are such that $P \uparrow f$ it then follows that $\|f\| \leq 1$, i.e. $f \in B_F$.

$c_0, L_p(\mu)$ – order continuous, $p < \infty$; $C_0(X), L_\infty(\mu)$ – semicontinuous.

A net $(f_\alpha) \subset F$ *order converges* to $f \in F$ (denoted by $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} f$) if there is $P \subset F$ with $\bigwedge P = 0$ such that for every $p \in P$ there is α_p such that $|f_\alpha - f| \leq p$, for $\alpha \geq \alpha_p$.

The term “semicontinuous” is justified by the fact that it is equivalent to B_F being order closed and to the condition:

$$f_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} f \Rightarrow \|f\| \leq \liminf \|f_\alpha\|.$$

Similarly, F is order continuous iff the norm topology on F is weaker than order convergence, i.e. $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} f \Rightarrow f_\alpha \rightarrow f$.

Order continuity is a topological property, hence stable under renorming.

Order continuity is a topological property, hence stable under renorming. Semicontinuity is not: let $\|\cdot\|_n$ be a norm on c defined by

$$\|(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|_n := \bigvee_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |t_k| \vee n \left| \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} t_k \right|.$$

Order continuity is a topological property, hence stable under renorming. Semicontinuity is not: let $\|\cdot\|_n$ be a norm on c defined by

$$\|(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|_n := \bigvee_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |t_k| \vee n \left| \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} t_k \right|.$$

This is a renorming of c , which is not semicontinuous for $n > 1$.

Order continuity is a topological property, hence stable under renorming. Semicontinuity is not: let $\|\cdot\|_n$ be a norm on c defined by

$$\|(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|_n := \bigvee_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |t_k| \vee n \left| \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} t_k \right|.$$

This is a renorming of c , which is not semicontinuous for $n > 1$.

Theorem 1 (B., 2026)

F is order continuous iff every renorming of F is semicontinuous.

Order continuity is a topological property, hence stable under renorming. Semicontinuity is not: let $\|\cdot\|_n$ be a norm on c defined by

$$\|(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|_n := \bigvee_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |t_k| \vee n \left| \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} t_k \right|.$$

This is a renorming of c , which is not semicontinuous for $n > 1$.

Theorem 1 (B., 2026)

F is order continuous iff every renorming of F is semicontinuous.

The *order adherence* $\overline{B}^{o,1}$ of $B \subset F$ is the set of all existing order limits of nets in B .

Order continuity is a topological property, hence stable under renorming. Semicontinuity is not: let $\|\cdot\|_n$ be a norm on c defined by

$$\|(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|_n := \bigvee_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |t_k| \vee n \left| \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} t_k \right|.$$

This is a renorming of c , which is not semicontinuous for $n > 1$.

Theorem 1 (B., 2026)

F is order continuous iff every renorming of F is semicontinuous.

The *order adherence* $\overline{B}^{o,1}$ of $B \subset F$ is the set of all existing order limits of nets in B . B is *order closed* if $\overline{B}^{o,1} = B$.

Order continuity is a topological property, hence stable under renorming. Semicontinuity is not: let $\|\cdot\|_n$ be a norm on c defined by

$$\|(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|_n := \bigvee_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |t_k| \vee n \left| \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} t_k \right|.$$

This is a renorming of c , which is not semicontinuous for $n > 1$.

Theorem 1 (B., 2026)

F is order continuous iff every renorming of F is semicontinuous.

The *order adherence* $\overline{B}^{o,1}$ of $B \subset F$ is the set of all existing order limits of nets in B . B is *order closed* if $\overline{B}^{o,1} = B$. The *order closure* $\overline{B}^o = B$ of B is the intersection of all order closed sets containing B .

Proposition 1 (TFAE:)

Order continuity is a topological property, hence stable under renorming. Semicontinuity is not: let $\|\cdot\|_n$ be a norm on c defined by

$$\|(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|_n := \bigvee_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |t_k| \vee n \left| \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} t_k \right|.$$

This is a renorming of c , which is not semicontinuous for $n > 1$.

Theorem 1 (B., 2026)

F is order continuous iff every renorming of F is semicontinuous.

The *order adherence* $\overline{B}^{o,1}$ of $B \subset F$ is the set of all existing order limits of nets in B . B is *order closed* if $\overline{B}^{o,1} = B$. The *order closure* $\overline{B}^o = B^o$ of B is the intersection of all order closed sets containing B .

Proposition 1 (TFAE:)

- $\overline{B_F}^o$ is norm bounded;

Order continuity is a topological property, hence stable under renorming. Semicontinuity is not: let $\|\cdot\|_n$ be a norm on c defined by

$$\|(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|_n := \bigvee_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |t_k| \vee n \left| \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} t_k \right|.$$

This is a renorming of c , which is not semicontinuous for $n > 1$.

Theorem 1 (B., 2026)

F is order continuous iff every renorming of F is semicontinuous.

The *order adherence* $\overline{B}^{o,1}$ of $B \subset F$ is the set of all existing order limits of nets in B . B is *order closed* if $\overline{B}^{o,1} = B$. The *order closure* $\overline{B}^o = \overline{B}^{o,1}$ of B is the intersection of all order closed sets containing B .

Proposition 1 (TFAE:)

- $\overline{B_F}^o$ is norm bounded;
- Order closure preserves norm bounded sets;

Order continuity is a topological property, hence stable under renorming. Semicontinuity is not: let $\|\cdot\|_n$ be a norm on c defined by

$$\|(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|_n := \bigvee_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |t_k| \vee n \left| \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} t_k \right|.$$

This is a renorming of c , which is not semicontinuous for $n > 1$.

Theorem 1 (B., 2026)

F is order continuous iff every renorming of F is semicontinuous.

The *order adherence* $\overline{B}^{o,1}$ of $B \subset F$ is the set of all existing order limits of nets in B . B is *order closed* if $\overline{B}^{o,1} = B$. The *order closure* $\overline{B}^o = \overline{B}^{o,1}$ of B is the intersection of all order closed sets containing B .

Proposition 1 (TFAE:)

- $\overline{B}_F^o$ is norm bounded;
- Order closure preserves norm bounded sets;
- The norm topology has a local base of order closed sets;

Order continuity is a topological property, hence stable under renorming. Semicontinuity is not: let $\|\cdot\|_n$ be a norm on c defined by

$$\|(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|_n := \bigvee_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |t_k| \vee n \left| \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} t_k \right|.$$

This is a renorming of c , which is not semicontinuous for $n > 1$.

Theorem 1 (B., 2026)

F is order continuous iff every renorming of F is semicontinuous.

The *order adherence* $\overline{B}^{o,1}$ of $B \subset F$ is the set of all existing order limits of nets in B . B is *order closed* if $\overline{B}^{o,1} = B$. The *order closure* $\overline{B}^o = B^o$ of B is the intersection of all order closed sets containing B .

Proposition 1 (TFAE:)

- $\overline{B_F}^o$ is norm bounded;
- Order closure preserves norm bounded sets;
- The norm topology has a local base of order closed sets;
- F has an equivalent semicontinuous norm.

Order demicontinuity

Order demicontinuity

F is (order) r -demicontinuous, for some $r \geq 1$, if whenever $f \in F$ and $P \subset B_{F_+}$ are such that $P \uparrow f$ it then follows that $\|f\| \leq r$.

Order demicontinuity

F is (order) r -demicontinuous, for some $r \geq 1$, if whenever $f \in F$ and $P \subset B_{F_+}$ are such that $P \uparrow f$ it then follows that $\|f\| \leq r$.

F is (order) demicontinuous (a.k.a weakly Fatou) if it is r -demicontinuous, for some $r \geq 1$.

Order demicontinuity

F is (order) r -demicontinuous, for some $r \geq 1$, if whenever $f \in F$ and $P \subset B_{F_+}$ are such that $P \uparrow f$ it then follows that $\|f\| \leq r$.

F is (order) demicontinuous (a.k.a weakly Fatou) if it is r -demicontinuous, for some $r \geq 1$. Demicontinuity is stable under renorming, hence follows from having a semicontinuous renorming (but NOT conversely).

Order demicontinuity

F is (order) r -demicontinuous, for some $r \geq 1$, if whenever $f \in F$ and $P \subset B_{F_+}$ are such that $P \uparrow f$ it then follows that $\|f\| \leq r$.

F is (order) demicontinuous (a.k.a weakly Fatou) if it is r -demicontinuous, for some $r \geq 1$. Demicontinuity is stable under renorming, hence follows from having a semicontinuous renorming (but NOT conversely).

Proposition 2

Order demicontinuity

F is (order) r -demicontinuous, for some $r \geq 1$, if whenever $f \in F$ and $P \subset B_{F_+}$ are such that $P \uparrow f$ it then follows that $\|f\| \leq r$.

F is (order) demicontinuous (a.k.a weakly Fatou) if it is r -demicontinuous, for some $r \geq 1$. Demicontinuity is stable under renorming, hence follows from having a semicontinuous renorming (but NOT conversely).

Proposition 2

- F is r -demicont. iff $\overline{B_F}^{0,1} \subset rB_F$ iff $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} f \Rightarrow \|f\| \leq r \liminf \|f_\alpha\|$.

Order demicontinuity

F is (order) r -demicontinuous, for some $r \geq 1$, if whenever $f \in F$ and $P \subset B_{F_+}$ are such that $P \uparrow f$ it then follows that $\|f\| \leq r$.

F is (order) demicontinuous (a.k.a weakly Fatou) if it is r -demicontinuous, for some $r \geq 1$. Demicontinuity is stable under renorming, hence follows from having a semicontinuous renorming (but NOT conversely).

Proposition 2

- F is r -demicont. iff $\overline{B_F}^{0,1} \subset rB_F$ iff $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} f \Rightarrow \|f\| \leq r \liminf \|f_\alpha\|$.
- F is demicontinuous iff $\overline{B_F}^{0,1}$ is norm bounded iff order adherence preserves norm bounded sets.

Order demicontinuity

F is (order) r -demicontinuous, for some $r \geq 1$, if whenever $f \in F$ and $P \subset B_{F_+}$ are such that $P \uparrow f$ it then follows that $\|f\| \leq r$.

F is (order) demicontinuous (a.k.a weakly Fatou) if it is r -demicontinuous, for some $r \geq 1$. Demicontinuity is stable under renorming, hence follows from having a semicontinuous renorming (but NOT conversely).

Proposition 2

- F is r -demicont. iff $\overline{B_F}^{0,1} \subset rB_F$ iff $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{0} f \Rightarrow \|f\| \leq r \liminf \|f_\alpha\|$.
- F is demicontinuous iff $\overline{B_F}^{0,1}$ is norm bounded iff order adherence preserves norm bounded sets.

A sublattice $E \subset F$ is regular if for $P \subset E$ we have $\bigwedge_E P = 0 \Rightarrow \bigwedge_F P = 0$.

Order demicontinuity

F is (order) r -demicontinuous, for some $r \geq 1$, if whenever $f \in F$ and $P \subset B_{F_+}$ are such that $P \uparrow f$ it then follows that $\|f\| \leq r$.

F is (order) demicontinuous (a.k.a weakly Fatou) if it is r -demicontinuous, for some $r \geq 1$. Demicontinuity is stable under renorming, hence follows from having a semicontinuous renorming (but NOT conversely).

Proposition 2

- F is r -demicont. iff $\overline{B_F}^{0,1} \subset rB_F$ iff $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{o} f \Rightarrow \|f\| \leq r \liminf \|f_\alpha\|$.
- F is demicontinuous iff $\overline{B_F}^{0,1}$ is norm bounded iff order adherence preserves norm bounded sets.

A sublattice $E \subset F$ is regular if for $P \subset E$ we have $\bigwedge_E P = 0 \Rightarrow \bigwedge_F P = 0$. Equivalently, the embedding of E into F is order continuous, i.e. preserves order convergence. Every ideal is regular.

Order demicontinuity

F is (order) r -demicontinuous, for some $r \geq 1$, if whenever $f \in F$ and $P \subset B_{F_+}$ are such that $P \uparrow f$ it then follows that $\|f\| \leq r$.

F is (order) demicontinuous (a.k.a weakly Fatou) if it is r -demicontinuous, for some $r \geq 1$. Demicontinuity is stable under renorming, hence follows from having a semicontinuous renorming (but NOT conversely).

Proposition 2

- F is r -demicont. iff $\overline{B_F}^{0,1} \subset rB_F$ iff $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{0} f \Rightarrow \|f\| \leq r \liminf \|f_\alpha\|$.
- F is demicontinuous iff $\overline{B_F}^{0,1}$ is norm bounded iff order adherence preserves norm bounded sets.

A sublattice $E \subset F$ is regular if for $P \subset E$ we have $\bigwedge_E P = 0 \Rightarrow \bigwedge_F P = 0$. Equivalently, the embedding of E into F is order continuous, i.e. preserves order convergence. Every ideal is regular.

Proposition 3

All considered conditions pass down to regular sublattices.

Monotone boundedness

Monotone boundedness

A sublattice $E \subset F$ is *order dense* if for every $f > 0$ there is $e \in E$ such that $0 < e \leq f$. In this case E is a regular sublattice.

Monotone boundedness

A sublattice $E \subset F$ is *order dense* if for every $f > 0$ there is $e \in E$ such that $0 < e \leq f$. In this case E is a regular sublattice.

A vector lattice is *universally complete* if it is order complete and every disjoint subset there is order bounded.

Monotone boundedness

A sublattice $E \subset F$ is *order dense* if for every $f > 0$ there is $e \in E$ such that $0 < e \leq f$. In this case E is a regular sublattice.

A vector lattice is *universally complete* if it is order complete and every disjoint subset there is order bounded.

Every F embeds as an order dense sublattice of a universally complete VL in an essentially unique way. This is called *the universal completion* of F , denoted F^u .

Monotone boundedness

A sublattice $E \subset F$ is *order dense* if for every $f > 0$ there is $e \in E$ such that $0 < e \leq f$. In this case E is a regular sublattice.

A vector lattice is *universally complete* if it is order complete and every disjoint subset there is order bounded.

Every F embeds as an order dense sublattice of a universally complete VL in an essentially unique way. This is called *the universal completion* of F , denoted F^u . If $F = L_p(\mu)$, $p \geq 1$, then $F^u = L_0(\mu)$.

Monotone boundedness

A sublattice $E \subset F$ is *order dense* if for every $f > 0$ there is $e \in E$ such that $0 < e \leq f$. In this case E is a regular sublattice.

A vector lattice is *universally complete* if it is order complete and every disjoint subset there is order bounded.

Every F embeds as an order dense sublattice of a universally complete VL in an essentially unique way. This is called *the universal completion* of F , denoted F^u . If $F = L_p(\mu)$, $p \geq 1$, then $F^u = L_0(\mu)$.

F is *(r -)monotonically bounded* if every directed subset of B_{F_+} has an upper bound in F (of norm at most r).

Monotone boundedness

A sublattice $E \subset F$ is *order dense* if for every $f > 0$ there is $e \in E$ such that $0 < e \leq f$. In this case E is a regular sublattice.

A vector lattice is *universally complete* if it is order complete and every disjoint subset there is order bounded.

Every F embeds as an order dense sublattice of a universally complete VL in an essentially unique way. This is called *the universal completion* of F , denoted F^u . If $F = L_p(\mu)$, $p \geq 1$, then $F^u = L_0(\mu)$.

F is *(r -)monotonically bounded* if every directed subset of B_{F_+} has an upper bound in F (of norm at most r). This implies *(r -)demicontinuity*.

Monotone boundedness

A sublattice $E \subset F$ is *order dense* if for every $f > 0$ there is $e \in E$ such that $0 < e \leq f$. In this case E is a regular sublattice.

A vector lattice is *universally complete* if it is order complete and every disjoint subset there is order bounded.

Every F embeds as an order dense sublattice of a universally complete VL in an essentially unique way. This is called *the universal completion* of F , denoted F^u . If $F = L_p(\mu)$, $p \geq 1$, then $F^u = L_0(\mu)$.

F is *(r -)monotonically bounded* if every directed subset of B_{F_+} has an upper bound in F (of norm at most r). This implies *(r -)demicontinuity*.

Proposition 4 (TFAE:

- F is monotonically bounded;)

Monotone boundedness

A sublattice $E \subset F$ is *order dense* if for every $f > 0$ there is $e \in E$ such that $0 < e \leq f$. In this case E is a regular sublattice.

A vector lattice is *universally complete* if it is order complete and every disjoint subset there is order bounded.

Every F embeds as an order dense sublattice of a universally complete VL in an essentially unique way. This is called *the universal completion* of F , denoted F^u . If $F = L_p(\mu)$, $p \geq 1$, then $F^u = L_0(\mu)$.

F is *(r -)monotonically bounded* if every directed subset of B_{F_+} has an upper bound in F (of norm at most r). This implies *(r -)demicontinuity*.

Proposition 4 (TFAE: • F is monotonically bounded;)

- F is r -monotonically bounded, for some r ;

Monotone boundedness

A sublattice $E \subset F$ is *order dense* if for every $f > 0$ there is $e \in E$ such that $0 < e \leq f$. In this case E is a regular sublattice.

A vector lattice is *universally complete* if it is order complete and every disjoint subset there is order bounded.

Every F embeds as an order dense sublattice of a universally complete VL in an essentially unique way. This is called *the universal completion* of F , denoted F^u . If $F = L_p(\mu)$, $p \geq 1$, then $F^u = L_0(\mu)$.

F is *(r -)monotonically bounded* if every directed subset of B_{F_+} has an upper bound in F (of norm at most r). This implies *(r -)demicontinuity*.

Proposition 4 (TFAE: • F is monotonically bounded;)

- F is r -monotonically bounded, for some r ;
- Every directed subset of B_{F_+} , which is order bounded in F^u is order bounded in F ;

Monotone boundedness

A sublattice $E \subset F$ is *order dense* if for every $f > 0$ there is $e \in E$ such that $0 < e \leq f$. In this case E is a regular sublattice.

A vector lattice is *universally complete* if it is order complete and every disjoint subset there is order bounded.

Every F embeds as an order dense sublattice of a universally complete VL in an essentially unique way. This is called *the universal completion* of F , denoted F^u . If $F = L_p(\mu)$, $p \geq 1$, then $F^u = L_0(\mu)$.

F is *(r -)monotonically bounded* if every directed subset of B_{F_+} has an upper bound in F (of norm at most r). This implies *(r -)demicontinuity*.

Proposition 4 (TFAE:

• F is monotonically bounded;)

- F is r -monotonically bounded, for some r ;
- Every directed subset of B_{F_+} , which is order bounded in F^u is order bounded in F ;
- If $A \subset F$ is order bounded in F^{**} then it is order bounded in F ;

Monotone boundedness

A sublattice $E \subset F$ is *order dense* if for every $f > 0$ there is $e \in E$ such that $0 < e \leq f$. In this case E is a regular sublattice.

A vector lattice is *universally complete* if it is order complete and every disjoint subset there is order bounded.

Every F embeds as an order dense sublattice of a universally complete VL in an essentially unique way. This is called *the universal completion* of F , denoted F^u . If $F = L_p(\mu)$, $p \geq 1$, then $F^u = L_0(\mu)$.

F is *(r -)monotonically bounded* if every directed subset of B_{F_+} has an upper bound in F (of norm at most r). This implies (r) -demicontinuity.

Proposition 4 (TFAE: **• F is monotonically bounded;)**

- F is r -monotonically bounded, for some r ;
- Every directed subset of B_{F_+} , which is order bounded in F^u is order bounded in F ;
- If $A \subset F$ is order bounded in F^{**} then it is order bounded in F ;
- F has an order dense monotonically bounded sublattice.

Monotone completeness

Monotone completeness

F is *(r -)monotonically complete* if every directed subset of B_{F_+} has a supremum in F (of norm at most r).

Monotone completeness

F is *(r -)monotonically complete* if every directed subset of B_{F_+} has a supremum in F (of norm at most r).

Proposition 5

Monotone completeness

F is $(r-)$ monotonically complete if every directed subset of B_{F+} has a supremum in F (of norm at most r).

Proposition 5

- r -monotone completeness = order completeness + r -monotone boundedness = monotone completeness + r -demicontinuity.

Monotone completeness

F is $(r-)$ monotonically complete if every directed subset of B_{F+} has a supremum in F (of norm at most r).

Proposition 5

- r -monotone completeness = order completeness + r -monotone boundedness = monotone completeness + r -demicontinuity.
- Every monotonically complete normed lattice is a Banach lattice, and it is r -monotonically complete, for some r .

Monotone completeness

F is $(r-)$ monotonically complete if every directed subset of B_{F+} has a supremum in F (of norm at most r).

Proposition 5

- r -monotone completeness = order completeness + r -monotone boundedness = monotone completeness + r -demicontinuity.
- Every monotonically complete normed lattice is a Banach lattice, and it is r -monotonically complete, for some r .

$C(K)$ is 1-monotonically bounded, but it is monotonically complete iff K is extremally disconnected.

Monotone completeness

F is $(r-)$ monotonically complete if every directed subset of B_{F+} has a supremum in F (of norm at most r).

Proposition 5

- r -monotone completeness = order completeness + r -monotone boundedness = monotone completeness + r -demicontinuity.
- Every monotonically complete normed lattice is a Banach lattice, and it is r -monotonically complete, for some r .

$\mathcal{C}(K)$ is 1-monotonically bounded, but it is monotonically complete iff K is extremally disconnected. F^* is always 1-monotonically complete.

Monotone completeness

F is $(r-)$ monotonically complete if every directed subset of B_{F+} has a supremum in F (of norm at most r).

Proposition 5

- r -monotone completeness = order completeness + r -monotone boundedness = monotone completeness + r -demicontinuity.
- Every monotonically complete normed lattice is a Banach lattice, and it is r -monotonically complete, for some r .

$\mathcal{C}(K)$ is 1-monotonically bounded, but it is monotonically complete iff K is extremally disconnected. F^* is always 1-monotonically complete.

Theorem 2 (Abramovich + Wickstead, 97 & B., 26)

Monotone completeness

F is $(r-)$ monotonically complete if every directed subset of B_{F+} has a supremum in F (of norm at most r).

Proposition 5

- r -monotone completeness = order completeness + r -monotone boundedness = monotone completeness + r -demicontinuity.
- Every monotonically complete normed lattice is a Banach lattice, and it is r -monotonically complete, for some r .

$\mathcal{C}(K)$ is 1-monotonically bounded, but it is monotonically complete iff K is extremally disconnected. F^* is always 1-monotonically complete.

Theorem 2 (Abramovich + Wickstead, 97 & B., 26)

A Banach lattice F is $(r-)$ monotonically complete iff whenever $D \subset F_+$ is disjoint and such that

$$d_1 + \dots + d_n = d_1 \vee \dots \vee d_n \in B_F, \text{ for every } d_1, \dots, d_n \in D,$$

then D has a supremum in F (of norm at most r).

Theorem 3 (Buskes, 84 & B. + Salguero-Alarcón + Tradacete + Trejo-Arroyo, 26)

Theorem 3 (Buskes, 84 & B. + Salguero-Alarcón + Tradacete + Trejo-Arroyo, 26)

For a Banach lattice F TFAE:

- *F is monotonically complete;*

Theorem 3 (Buskes, 84 & B. + Salguero-Alarcón + Tradacete + Trejo-Arroyo, 26)

For a Banach lattice F TFAE:

- *F is monotonically complete;*
- *F is order complete and if G is a Banach lattice and $H \subset G$ is a closed ideal, then every positive $T : H \rightarrow F$ has a positive extension $\hat{T} : G \rightarrow F$;*

Theorem 3 (Buskes, 84 & B. + Salguero-Alarcón + Tradacete + Trejo-Arroyo, 26)

For a Banach lattice F TFAE:

- F is monotonically complete;

- F is order complete and if G is a Banach lattice and $H \subset G$ is a closed ideal, then every positive $T : H \rightarrow F$ has a positive extension $\hat{T} : G \rightarrow F$;
- If G is a Banach lattice and $H \subset G$ is a closed ideal, then every homomorphism $T : H \rightarrow F$ has an extension $\hat{T} : G \rightarrow F$, which is a homomorphism;

Theorem 3 (Buskes, 84 & B. + Salguero-Alarcón + Tradacete + Trejo-Arroyo, 26)

For a Banach lattice F TFAE:

• F is monotonically complete;

- F is order complete and if G is a Banach lattice and $H \subset G$ is a closed ideal, then every positive $T : H \rightarrow F$ has a positive extension $\hat{T} : G \rightarrow F$;
- If G is a Banach lattice and $H \subset G$ is a closed ideal, then every homomorphism $T : H \rightarrow F$ has an extension $\hat{T} : G \rightarrow F$, which is a homomorphism;
- Same but H is order dense and of codimension 1 in G ;

Theorem 3 (Buskes, 84 & B. + Salguero-Alarcón + Tradacete + Trejo-Arroyo, 26)

For a Banach lattice F TFAE:

• F is monotonically complete;

- F is order complete and if G is a Banach lattice and $H \subset G$ is a closed ideal, then every positive $T : H \rightarrow F$ has a positive extension $\hat{T} : G \rightarrow F$;
- If G is a Banach lattice and $H \subset G$ is a closed ideal, then every homomorphism $T : H \rightarrow F$ has an extension $\hat{T} : G \rightarrow F$, which is a homomorphism;
- Same but H is order dense and of codimension 1 in G ;
- F is order complete demicontinuous, and whenever F embeds into a Banach lattice G as a closed ideal, it is a projection band there.

Theorem 3 (Buskes, 84 & B. + Salguero-Alarcón + Tradacete + Trejo-Arroyo, 26)

- For a Banach lattice F TFAE:
- F is monotonically complete;
 - F is order complete and if G is a Banach lattice and $H \subset G$ is a closed ideal, then every positive $T : H \rightarrow F$ has a positive extension $\hat{T} : G \rightarrow F$;
 - If G is a Banach lattice and $H \subset G$ is a closed ideal, then every homomorphism $T : H \rightarrow F$ has an extension $\hat{T} : G \rightarrow F$, which is a homomorphism;
 - Same but H is order dense and of codimension 1 in G ;
 - F is order complete demicontinuous, and whenever F embeds into a Banach lattice G as a closed ideal, it is a projection band there.

Note that F is order complete iff

Theorem 3 (Buskes, 84 & B. + Salguero-Alarcón + Tradacete + Trejo-Arroyo, 26)

- For a Banach lattice F TFAE:
- F is monotonically complete;
 - F is order complete and if G is a Banach lattice and $H \subset G$ is a closed ideal, then every positive $T : H \rightarrow F$ has a positive extension $\hat{T} : G \rightarrow F$;
 - If G is a Banach lattice and $H \subset G$ is a closed ideal, then every homomorphism $T : H \rightarrow F$ has an extension $\hat{T} : G \rightarrow F$, which is a homomorphism;
 - Same but H is order dense and of codimension 1 in G ;
 - F is order complete demicontinuous, and whenever F embeds into a Banach lattice G as a closed ideal, it is a projection band there.

Note that F is order complete iff

- whenever G is a Banach lattice and $H \subset G$ is a closed majorizing sublattice, then every positive (homomorphism) $T : H \rightarrow F$ has an extension $\hat{T} : G \rightarrow F$, which is positive (a homomorphism).

Monotone completeness vs uo convergence

Monotone completeness vs uo convergence

(f_α) *unbounded order (uo) converges* to f (denoted $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} f$) if $|f_\alpha - f| \wedge e \xrightarrow{o} 0$, for every $e \in F_+$.

Monotone completeness vs uo convergence

(f_α) *unbounded order (uo) converges* to f (denoted $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} f$) if $|f_\alpha - f| \wedge e \xrightarrow{o} 0$, for every $e \in F_+$.

This mode of convergence is often better behaved than order convergence. For example, in $L_p(\mu)$ uo and ae agree on sequences. Similarly for $\mathcal{C}(K)$, where “almost” = “outside of a meager set”.

Monotone completeness vs uo convergence

(f_α) *unbounded order (uo) converges* to f (denoted $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} f$) if $|f_\alpha - f| \wedge e \xrightarrow{0} 0$, for every $e \in F_+$.

This mode of convergence is often better behaved than order convergence. For example, in $L_p(\mu)$ uo and ae agree on sequences. Similarly for $\mathcal{C}(K)$, where “almost” = “outside of a meager set”.

If $E \subset F$ is a regular sublattice, then the internal uo convergence of E agrees with the restriction of uo convergence of F .

Monotone completeness vs uo convergence

(f_α) *unbounded order* (uo) converges to f (denoted $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} f$) if $|f_\alpha - f| \wedge e \xrightarrow{0} 0$, for every $e \in F_+$.

This mode of convergence is often better behaved than order convergence. For example, in $L_p(\mu)$ uo and ae agree on sequences. Similarly for $\mathcal{C}(K)$, where “almost” = “outside of a meager set”.

If $E \subset F$ is a regular sublattice, then the internal uo convergence of E agrees with the restriction of uo convergence of F .

An Archimedean vector lattice is universally complete iff every uo-Cauchy net in it has a uo-limit.

Monotone completeness vs uo convergence

(f_α) *unbounded order (uo) converges* to f (denoted $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} f$) if $|f_\alpha - f| \wedge e \xrightarrow{0} 0$, for every $e \in F_+$.

This mode of convergence is often better behaved than order convergence. For example, in $L_p(\mu)$ uo and ae agree on sequences. Similarly for $\mathcal{C}(K)$, where “almost” = “outside of a meager set”.

If $E \subset F$ is a regular sublattice, then the internal uo convergence of E agrees with the restriction of uo convergence of F .

An Archimedean vector lattice is universally complete iff every uo-Cauchy net in it has a uo-limit.

F is order semicontinuous iff it is uo-semicontinuous. Same for demi.

Monotone completeness vs uo convergence

(f_α) *unbounded order (uo) converges* to f (denoted $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} f$) if $|f_\alpha - f| \wedge e \xrightarrow{0} 0$, for every $e \in F_+$.

This mode of convergence is often better behaved than order convergence. For example, in $L_p(\mu)$ uo and ae agree on sequences. Similarly for $\mathcal{C}(K)$, where “almost” = “outside of a meager set”.

If $E \subset F$ is a regular sublattice, then the internal uo convergence of E agrees with the restriction of uo convergence of F .

An Archimedean vector lattice is universally complete iff every uo-Cauchy net in it has a uo-limit.

F is order semicontinuous iff it is uo-semicontinuous. Same for demi.

Theorem 4 (Taylor, 18 & B., 26)

Monotone completeness vs uo convergence

(f_α) *unbounded order (uo) converges* to f (denoted $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} f$) if $|f_\alpha - f| \wedge e \xrightarrow{0} 0$, for every $e \in F_+$.

This mode of convergence is often better behaved than order convergence. For example, in $L_p(\mu)$ uo and ae agree on sequences. Similarly for $\mathcal{C}(K)$, where “almost” = “outside of a meager set”.

If $E \subset F$ is a regular sublattice, then the internal uo convergence of E agrees with the restriction of uo convergence of F .

An Archimedean vector lattice is universally complete iff every uo-Cauchy net in it has a uo-limit.

F is order semicontinuous iff it is uo-semicontinuous. Same for demi.

Theorem 4 (Taylor, 18 & B., 26)

For a Banach lattice F TFAE:

- F is $(r-)$ monotonically complete;

Monotone completeness vs uo convergence

(f_α) *unbounded order (uo) converges* to f (denoted $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} f$) if $|f_\alpha - f| \wedge e \xrightarrow{0} 0$, for every $e \in F_+$.

This mode of convergence is often better behaved than order convergence. For example, in $L_p(\mu)$ uo and ae agree on sequences. Similarly for $\mathcal{C}(K)$, where “almost” = “outside of a meager set”.

If $E \subset F$ is a regular sublattice, then the internal uo convergence of E agrees with the restriction of uo convergence of F .

An Archimedean vector lattice is universally complete iff every uo-Cauchy net in it has a uo-limit.

F is order semicontinuous iff it is uo-semicontinuous. Same for demi.

Theorem 4 (Taylor, 18 & B., 26)

For a Banach lattice F TFAE:

- F is $(r-)$ monotonically complete;
- $\overline{B_F^{0,1}}$ in F^u is contained in F (in rB_F);

Monotone completeness vs uo convergence

(f_α) *unbounded order (uo) converges* to f (denoted $f_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{uo}} f$) if $|f_\alpha - f| \wedge e \xrightarrow{0} 0$, for every $e \in F_+$.

This mode of convergence is often better behaved than order convergence. For example, in $L_p(\mu)$ uo and ae agree on sequences. Similarly for $\mathcal{C}(K)$, where “almost” = “outside of a meager set”.

If $E \subset F$ is a regular sublattice, then the internal uo convergence of E agrees with the restriction of uo convergence of F .

An Archimedean vector lattice is universally complete iff every uo-Cauchy net in it has a uo-limit.

F is order semicontinuous iff it is uo-semicontinuous. Same for demi.

Theorem 4 (Taylor, 18 & B., 26)

For a Banach lattice F TFAE:

- F is $(r-)$ monotonically complete;
- $\overline{B_F^{0,1}}$ in F^u is contained in F (in rB_F);
- Every uo-Cauchy net in B_F has a uo-limit in F (of norm at most r).

The Lorentz completion

The Lorentz completion

Define the *Lorentz seminorm* $\|\cdot\|_L$ on F^u by

$$\|f\|_L = \bigwedge \{s > 0, \exists P \subset sB_{F_+} \ P \uparrow |f|\} \in [0, \infty].$$

The Lorentz completion

Define the *Lorentz seminorm* $\|\cdot\|_L$ on F^u by

$$\|f\|_L = \bigwedge \{s > 0, \exists P \subset sB_{F_+} \ P \uparrow |f|\} \in [0, \infty].$$

Note that this is the Minkowsky functional of $\overline{B_F}^{0,1}$ in F^u , and so it is finite on $F^L := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n\overline{B_F}^{0,1}$ – the *Lorentz completion* of F .

The Lorentz completion

Define the *Lorentz seminorm* $\|\cdot\|_L$ on F^u by

$$\|f\|_L = \bigwedge \{s > 0, \exists P \subset sB_{F_+} \ P \uparrow |f|\} \in [0, \infty].$$

Note that this is the Minkowsky functional of $\overline{B_F}^{0,1}$ in F^u , and so it is finite on $F^L := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n\overline{B_F}^{0,1}$ – the *Lorentz completion* of F .

Theorem 5 (B., 2026)

The Lorentz completion

Define the *Lorentz seminorm* $\|\cdot\|_L$ on F^u by

$$\|f\|_L = \bigwedge \{s > 0, \exists P \subset sB_{F_+} \ P \uparrow |f|\} \in [0, \infty].$$

Note that this is the Minkowsky functional of $\overline{B_F}^{0,1}$ in F^u , and so it is finite on $F^L := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n\overline{B_F}^{0,1}$ – the *Lorentz completion* of F .

Theorem 5 (B., 2026)

- If F is r -demicontinuous then $(F^L, \|\cdot\|_L)$ is r -monotonically complete and $\frac{1}{r}\|\cdot\| \leq \|\cdot\|_L \leq \|\cdot\|$ on F .

The Lorentz completion

Define the *Lorentz seminorm* $\|\cdot\|_L$ on F^u by

$$\|f\|_L = \bigwedge \{s > 0, \exists P \subset sB_{F_+} \ P \uparrow |f|\} \in [0, \infty].$$

Note that this is the Minkowsky functional of $\overline{B_F}^{0,1}$ in F^u , and so it is finite on $F^L := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n\overline{B_F}^{0,1}$ – the *Lorentz completion* of F .

Theorem 5 (B., 2026)

- If F is r -demicontinuous then $(F^L, \|\cdot\|_L)$ is r -monotonically complete and $\frac{1}{r}\|\cdot\| \leq \|\cdot\|_L \leq \|\cdot\|$ on F .
- F is demicontinuous iff it embeds isomorphically as a regular sublattice of a monotonically complete Banach lattice.

The Lorentz completion

Define the *Lorentz seminorm* $\|\cdot\|_L$ on F^u by

$$\|f\|_L = \bigwedge \{s > 0, \exists P \subset sB_{F_+} \ P \uparrow |f|\} \in [0, \infty].$$

Note that this is the Minkowsky functional of $\overline{B_F}^{0,1}$ in F^u , and so it is finite on $F^L := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n\overline{B_F}^{0,1}$ – the *Lorentz completion* of F .

Theorem 5 (B., 2026)

- If F is r -demicontinuous then $(F^L, \|\cdot\|_L)$ is r -monotonically complete and $\frac{1}{r}\|\cdot\| \leq \|\cdot\|_L \leq \|\cdot\|$ on F .
 - F is demicontinuous iff it embeds isomorphically as a regular sublattice of a monotonically complete Banach lattice.
- Then F^L is a unique up to an isomorphism monotonically complete Banach lattice which contains F as an order dense sublattice.

The Lorentz completion

Define the *Lorentz seminorm* $\|\cdot\|_L$ on F^u by

$$\|f\|_L = \bigwedge \{s > 0, \exists P \subset sB_{F_+} \ P \uparrow |f|\} \in [0, \infty].$$

Note that this is the Minkowsky functional of $\overline{B_F}^{0,1}$ in F^u , and so it is finite on $F^L := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n\overline{B_F}^{0,1}$ – the *Lorentz completion* of F .

Theorem 5 (B., 2026)

- If F is r -demicontinuous then $(F^L, \|\cdot\|_L)$ is r -monotonically complete and $\frac{1}{r}\|\cdot\| \leq \|\cdot\|_L \leq \|\cdot\|$ on F .
- F is demicontinuous iff it embeds isomorphically as a regular sublattice of a monotonically complete Banach lattice.
Then F^L is a unique up to an isomorphism monotonically complete Banach lattice which contains F as an order dense sublattice.
- If F is demicontinuous and its order continuous dual F_{oc}^* separates points, then $F^L = F_{oc}^{**}$ (isomorphically).

Note that every normed lattice isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a monotonically complete BL: its bidual.

Note that every normed lattice isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a monotonically complete BL: its bidual. Similarly, recall that F is an AM-space iff it isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

Note that every normed lattice isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a monotonically complete BL: its bidual. Similarly, recall that F is an AM-space iff it isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

Theorem 6 (B., 2026)

Note that every normed lattice isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a monotonically complete BL: its bidual. Similarly, recall that F is an AM-space iff it isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

Theorem 6 (B., 2026)

- *If F is a demicontinuous AM-space, then $F^L = \mathcal{C}(K)$, for some compact Hausdorff extremally disconnected space (isometrically).*

Note that every normed lattice isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a monotonically complete BL: its bidual. Similarly, recall that F is an AM-space iff it isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

Theorem 6 (B., 2026)

- *If F is a demicontinuous AM-space, then $F^L = \mathcal{C}(K)$, for some compact Hausdorff extremally disconnected space (isometrically).*
- *F is a semicontinuous / demicontinuous AM-space iff it embeds isometrically / isomorphically as a regular sublattice of a $\mathcal{C}(K)$.*

Note that every normed lattice isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a monotonically complete BL: its bidual. Similarly, recall that F is an AM-space iff it isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

Theorem 6 (B., 2026)

- *If F is a demicontinuous AM-space, then $F^L = \mathcal{C}(K)$, for some compact Hausdorff extremally disconnected space (isometrically).*
- *F is a semicontinuous / demicontinuous AM-space iff it embeds isometrically / isomorphically as a regular sublattice of a $\mathcal{C}(K)$.*

For an AM-space F let K_F be the set of homomorphisms from F to $\mathbb{R}$ of norm at most 1, endowed with the weak* topology.

Note that every normed lattice isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a monotonically complete BL: its bidual. Similarly, recall that F is an AM-space iff it isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

Theorem 6 (B., 2026)

- If F is a demicontinuous AM-space, then $F^L = \mathcal{C}(K)$, for some compact Hausdorff extremally disconnected space (isometrically).
- F is a semicontinuous / demicontinuous AM-space iff it embeds isometrically / isomorphically as a regular sublattice of a $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

For an AM-space F let K_F be the set of homomorphisms from F to $\mathbb{R}$ of norm at most 1, endowed with the weak* topology.

Let X_F be set of homomorphisms from F to $\mathbb{R}$, with the *bounded weak** topology, i.e. the strongest linear topology on F^* which agrees with the weak* topology on B_{F^*} .

Note that every normed lattice isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a monotonically complete BL: its bidual. Similarly, recall that F is an AM-space iff it isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

Theorem 6 (B., 2026)

- If F is a demicontinuous AM-space, then $F^L = \mathcal{C}(K)$, for some compact Hausdorff extremally disconnected space (isometrically).
- F is a semicontinuous / demicontinuous AM-space iff it embeds isometrically / isomorphically as a regular sublattice of a $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

For an AM-space F let K_F be the set of homomorphisms from F to $\mathbb{R}$ of norm at most 1, endowed with the weak* topology.

Let X_F be set of homomorphisms from F to $\mathbb{R}$, with the *bounded weak** topology, i.e. the strongest linear topology on F^* which agrees with the weak* topology on B_{F^*} .

F embeds into $\mathcal{C}(X_F)$ and $\mathcal{C}(K_F)$ via $f \mapsto \hat{f}$, where $\hat{f}(x) := x(f)$.

Note that every normed lattice isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a monotonically complete BL: its bidual. Similarly, recall that F is an AM-space iff it isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

Theorem 6 (B., 2026)

- If F is a demicontinuous AM-space, then $F^L = \mathcal{C}(K)$, for some compact Hausdorff extremally disconnected space (isometrically).
- F is a semicontinuous / demicontinuous AM-space iff it embeds isometrically / isomorphically as a regular sublattice of a $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

For an AM-space F let K_F be the set of homomorphisms from F to $\mathbb{R}$ of norm at most 1, endowed with the weak* topology.

Let X_F be set of homomorphisms from F to $\mathbb{R}$, with the *bounded weak** topology, i.e. the strongest linear topology on F^* which agrees with the weak* topology on B_{F^*} .

F embeds into $\mathcal{C}(X_F)$ and $\mathcal{C}(K_F)$ via $f \mapsto \hat{f}$, where $\hat{f}(x) := x(f)$.

Theorem 7 (B., 2026)

F is regular in $\mathcal{C}(X_F)$.

Note that every normed lattice isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a monotonically complete BL: its bidual. Similarly, recall that F is an AM-space iff it isometrically embeds as a sublattice of a $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

Theorem 6 (B., 2026)

- If F is a demicontinuous AM-space, then $F^L = \mathcal{C}(K)$, for some compact Hausdorff extremally disconnected space (isometrically).
- F is a semicontinuous / demicontinuous AM-space iff it embeds isometrically / isomorphically as a regular sublattice of a $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

For an AM-space F let K_F be the set of homomorphisms from F to $\mathbb{R}$ of norm at most 1, endowed with the weak* topology.

Let X_F be set of homomorphisms from F to $\mathbb{R}$, with the *bounded weak** topology, i.e. the strongest linear topology on F^* which agrees with the weak* topology on B_{F^*} .

F embeds into $\mathcal{C}(X_F)$ and $\mathcal{C}(K_F)$ via $f \mapsto \hat{f}$, where $\hat{f}(x) := x(f)$.

Theorem 7 (B., 2026)

F is regular in $\mathcal{C}(X_F)$. It is regular in $\mathcal{C}(K_F)$ iff F is semicontinuous.

Free AM-spaces

Free AM-spaces

Let E be a normed space. Let $F := \mathcal{C}_{ph}(B_{E^*}, w^*)$ be the space of all weak* continuous positively homogeneous functions on B_{E^*} – the free AM-space over E .

Free AM-spaces

Let E be a normed space. Let $F := \mathcal{C}_{ph}(B_{E^*}, w^*)$ be the space of all weak* continuous positively homogeneous functions on B_{E^*} – the free AM-space over E .

Theorem 8 (B., 2026)

TFAE:

)

Free AM-spaces

Let E be a normed space. Let $F := \mathcal{C}_{ph}(B_{E^*}, w^*)$ be the space of all weak* continuous positively homogeneous functions on B_{E^*} – the free AM-space over E .

Theorem 8 (B., 2026)

TFAE:

- $F = \mathcal{C}(K)$, for some K (isometrically);
- F is demicontinuous;

Free AM-spaces

Let E be a normed space. Let $F := \mathcal{C}_{ph}(B_{E^*}, w^*)$ be the space of all weak* continuous positively homogeneous functions on B_{E^*} – the free AM-space over E .

Theorem 8 (B., 2026)

TFAE:

)

- $F = \mathcal{C}(K)$, for some K (isometrically);
- F is demicontinuous;
- $\dim E < \infty$;
- F is regular in $\mathcal{C}(B_{E^*}, w^*)$;
- $\|\cdot\|_L \not\equiv 0$.

Free AM-spaces

Let E be a normed space. Let $F := \mathcal{C}_{ph}(B_{E^*}, w^*)$ be the space of all weak* continuous positively homogeneous functions on B_{E^*} – the free AM-space over E .

Theorem 8 (B., 2026)

TFAE:

- $F = \mathcal{C}(K)$, for some K (isometrically);
- F is demicontinuous;
- $\dim E < \infty$;
- F is regular in $\mathcal{C}(B_{E^*}, w^*)$;
- $\|\cdot\|_L \not\equiv 0$.

Let M be a lattice, let M^* be the set of all homomorphisms from M into $[-1, 1]$, endowed with the pointwise topology.

Free AM-spaces

Let E be a normed space. Let $F := \mathcal{C}_{ph}(B_{E^*}, w^*)$ be the space of all weak* continuous positively homogeneous functions on B_{E^*} – the free AM-space over E .

Theorem 8 (B., 2026)

TFAE:

- $F = \mathcal{C}(K)$, for some K (isometrically);
- F is demicontinuous;
- $\dim E < \infty$;
- F is regular in $\mathcal{C}(B_{E^*}, w^*)$;
- $\|\cdot\|_L \not\equiv 0$.

Let M be a lattice, let M^* be the set of all homomorphisms from M into $[-1, 1]$, endowed with the pointwise topology.

$\mathcal{C}_{ph}(M^*)$ is the space of all continuous positively homogeneous functions on M^* . This is the free AM-space over M .

Free AM-spaces

Let E be a normed space. Let $F := \mathcal{C}_{ph}(B_{E^*}, w^*)$ be the space of all weak* continuous positively homogeneous functions on B_{E^*} – the free AM-space over E .

Theorem 8 (B., 2026)

TFAE:

- $F = \mathcal{C}(K)$, for some K (isometrically);
- F is demicontinuous;
- $\dim E < \infty$;
- F is regular in $\mathcal{C}(B_{E^*}, w^*)$;
- $\|\cdot\|_L \not\equiv 0$.

Let M be a lattice, let M^* be the set of all homomorphisms from M into $[-1, 1]$, endowed with the pointwise topology.

$\mathcal{C}_{ph}(M^*)$ is the space of all continuous positively homogeneous functions on M^* . This is the free AM-space over M .

Proposition 6

Free AM-spaces

Let E be a normed space. Let $F := \mathcal{C}_{ph}(B_{E^*}, w^*)$ be the space of all weak* continuous positively homogeneous functions on B_{E^*} – the free AM-space over E .

Theorem 8 (B., 2026)

TFAE:

- $F = \mathcal{C}(K)$, for some K (isometrically);
- F is demicontinuous;
- $\dim E < \infty$;
- F is regular in $\mathcal{C}(B_{E^*}, w^*)$;
- $\|\cdot\|_L \not\equiv 0$.

Let M be a lattice, let M^* be the set of all homomorphisms from M into $[-1, 1]$, endowed with the pointwise topology.

$\mathcal{C}_{ph}(M^*)$ is the space of all continuous positively homogeneous functions on M^* . This is the free AM-space over M .

Proposition 6

- If M has supremum and infimum, then $\mathcal{C}_{ph}(M^*) \simeq \mathcal{C}(K)$, for some K (isometrically).

Free AM-spaces

Let E be a normed space. Let $F := C_{ph}(B_{E^*}, w^*)$ be the space of all weak* continuous positively homogeneous functions on B_{E^*} – the free AM-space over E .

Theorem 8 (B., 2026)

TFAE:

- $F = C(K)$, for some K (isometrically);
- F is demicontinuous;
- $\dim E < \infty$;
- F is regular in $C(B_{E^*}, w^*)$;
- $\|\cdot\|_L \not\equiv 0$.

Let M be a lattice, let M^* be the set of all homomorphisms from M into $[-1, 1]$, endowed with the pointwise topology.

$C_{ph}(M^*)$ is the space of all continuous positively homogeneous functions on M^* . This is the free AM-space over M .

Proposition 6

- If M has supremum and infimum, then $C_{ph}(M^*) \simeq C(K)$, for some K (isometrically).
- If M is totally ordered, but no sup and no inf, then $\|\cdot\|_L \equiv 0$.

THANK YOU!